

- | | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|
- [Query](#)
 - [Reports](#)
 - [Utilities](#)
 - [Logout](#)

APPEAL, MASON, TERMED

United States District Court
Northern District of Illinois - CM/ECF LIVE, Ver 4.2 (Chicago)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 1:09-cv-05925

Brodzki v. FBI
Assigned to: Honorable James B. Zagel
Case in other court: 10-01766
Cause: 28:1331 Federal Question

Date Filed: 09/23/2009
Date Terminated: 03/23/2010
Jury Demand: None
Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of Information Act
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Anthony J. Brodzki

represented by **Anthony J. Brodzki**
6900 Herman Jarco Drive
North Richmond Hills, TX 76180
817-427-8604
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

FBI

represented by **AUSA**
United States Attorney's Office (NDIL)
219 South Dearborn Street
Suite 500
Chicago, IL 60604
(312) 353-5300
Email: USAILN.ECFAUSA@usdoj.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
------------	---	-------------

09/23/2009	1	RECEIVED Complaint and 3 copies by Anthony J. Brodzki. (smm) (Entered: 09/24/2009)
09/23/2009	2	CIVIL Cover Sheet. (smm) (Entered: 09/24/2009)
09/23/2009	3	PRO SE Appearance by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki. (smm) (Entered: 09/24/2009)
09/23/2009	4	APPLICATION and financial affidavit by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (smm) (Entered: 09/24/2009)
09/23/2009	5	MOTION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for restraining order. (smm) (Entered: 09/24/2009)
03/12/2010	6	MOTION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for temporary restraining order (hp,) (Entered: 03/15/2010)
03/12/2010	7	AMENDED Complaint by Anthony J. Brodzki against FBI (hp,) (Entered: 03/15/2010)
03/12/2010	8	APPLICATION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (ea,) (Entered: 03/22/2010)
03/12/2010	9	MOTION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for appointment of counsel. (ea,). (Entered: 03/22/2010)
03/23/2010	10	APPLICATION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. (ea,) (Entered: 03/25/2010)
03/23/2010	11	MOTION by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for appointment of counsel. (ea,) (Entered: 03/25/2010)
03/23/2010	12	MINUTE entry before Honorable James B. Zagel: Plaintiff's application for leave to file in forma pauperis 4 is granted; Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is denied; Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order 5 is denied; Plaintiff's amended complaint is dismissed. Mailed notice (ea,) (Entered: 03/25/2010)
03/30/2010	13	NOTICE of appeal by Anthony J. Brodzki regarding orders 12 (ifp) (dj,) (Entered: 03/31/2010)
03/30/2010	14	APPLICATION and financial affidavit by Plaintiff Anthony J. Brodzki for leave to

	<u>6</u>	proceed in forma pauperis. (smm) (Entered: 04/01/2010)
03/31/2010	<u>1</u> <u>4</u>	NOTICE of Appeal Due letter sent to counsel of record (dj,) (Entered: 03/31/2010)
03/31/2010	<u>1</u> <u>5</u>	TRANSMITTED to the 7th Circuit the short record on notice of appeal <u>13</u> . Notified counsel (dj,) (Entered: 03/31/2010)
03/31/2010	<u>1</u> <u>7</u>	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT of receipt of short record on appeal regarding notice of appeal <u>13</u> ; USCA Case No. 10-1766. (smm) (Entered: 04/01/2010)
04/15/2010	<u>1</u> <u>8</u>	TRANSMITTED to the USCA for the 7th Circuit the long record on appeal <u>13</u> (USCA no. 10-1766) (dj,) (Entered: 04/15/2010)
04/16/2010	<u>1</u> <u>9</u>	NOTICE to Transmit Record on Appeal from Seventh Circuit, USCA no. 10-1766. Pursuant to Circuit Rule 11(b) the entire record is to be transmitted to the court immediately for use in the decision in the above named cause. (smm) (Entered: 04/19/2010)
04/22/2010	<u>2</u> <u>0</u>	CERTIFIED copy of order dated 4/22/10 from the Seventh Circuit regarding notice of appeal <u>13</u> ; Appellate case no. : 10-1766. Anthony Brodzki has filed pro se motions for appointment of counsel on appeal, to suspend briefing, and for a temporary restraining order. This court has carefully reviewed the final order of the district court, the record on appeal, and appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Based on this review, the court has determined that any issues which could be raised are insubstantial and that further briefing would not be helpful to the court's consideration of the issues. See Taylor v. City of New Albany, 979 F.2d 87 (7th Cir. 1991); Mather v. Village of Mundelein, 869 F.2d 356, 357 (7th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) (court can decide case on motions papers and record where briefing would be a waste of time and no member of the panel desires briefing or argument). Brodzki alleged in his complaint that the FBI violated his Fourth Amendment rights by using electronic and computer equipment to listen to his thoughts and broadcast them. He seeks a temporary restraining order from this court on the same basis. The district court did not err when dismissed Brodzki's complaint as "transparently frivolous." Accordingly, Brodzki's motions for appointment of counsel, to suspend briefing, and for a restraining order are denied, and the order of the district court is summarily AFFIRMED. (smm) (Entered: 04/22/2010)
06/14/2010	<u>2</u> <u>1</u>	LETTER from the Seventh Circuit returning the record on appeal in USCA no. 10-1766 consisting of no record to be returned. (smm) (Entered: 06/15/2010)
06/14/2010	<u>2</u> <u>2</u>	CERTIFIED copy of order dated 4/22/10 from the Seventh Circuit regarding notice of appeal <u>13</u> ; Appellate case no. : 10-1766. Anthony Brodzki has filed pro se motions for appointment of counsel on appeal, to suspend briefing, and for a temporary restraining order. This court has carefully reviewed the final order of the district court, the record on appeal, and appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis. Based on this review, the court has determined that any issues which could be raised

are insubstantial and that further briefing would not be helpful to the court's consideration of the issues. See Taylor v. City of New Albany, 979 F.2d 87 (8th Cir. 1992); Mather v. Village of Mundelein, 869 F.2d 356, 357 (7th Cir. 1989) (per curiam) (court can decide case on motions papers and record where briefing would be a waste of time and no member of the panel briefing or argument). Brodzki alleged in his complaint that the FBI violated his Fourth Amendment rights by using electronic and computer equipment to listen to his thoughts and broadcast them. He seeks a temporary restraining order from this court on the same basis. The district court did not err when dismissed Brodzki's complaint as "transparently frivolous." Accordingly, Brodzki's motions for appointment of counsel, to suspend briefing, and for a restraining order are denied, and the order of the district court is summarily Affirmed. (smm) (Entered: 06/15/2010)

PACER Service Center

Transaction Receipt

09/22/2011 16:37:48

PACER Login:	pb0232	Client Code:	foiaproj
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	1:09-cv-05925
Billable Pages:	3	Cost:	0.24